How Old Is It All? Scientific Evidences Support Creation Model Of Origins!

by Dr. Gail E. Terrell Scientist, Teacher, Pastor

MYTHTAKEN.COM

...about the author

Dr. Gail Terrell is an experienced scientist and science teacher, and was Pastor of Grace Baptist Church, Hamilton, Ohio Dr. Terrell's academic

credentials are as follows: from the Univer sity of Cincinnati-Ph.D. in interdisciplin ary studies, M.Ed. in science and mathemat ics, M.B.A. in marketing, B.S. in chemical gineering; from Cincinnati Baptist Bible College-Th.D. And M.Div.

Dr. Terrell accumulated 10 years of experience in the chemical industry and over 30 years of science teaching experience at the college and high school levels. Dr. Terrell served as President and a Professor at Temple Baptist College, Cincinnati, OH Dr. Terrell also taught biology, chemistry, physics, and calculus at Landmark Christian High School, , Cincinnati, OH

Dr. Terrell presented scientific creationism in public educational institutions and in debates with evolutionists. He has appeared on TV programs defending scientific creationism as the most scientific explanation of ultimate origins. Dr. Terrell regularly presented both Biblical and scien tific creationism in churches. Dr. Terrell went home to heavein in October 2003

How Old Is It All? Scientific Evidences Support Creation Model Of Origins!

Many scientific dating systems support the be lief that the universe and earth are only a few thou sand years old as expected from the creation model of or igins. Sadly, text books and me diare fuse to share this in formation with stu dents and the public, be cause such information destroys the basic faith most have in the evolution myth and requires a new education in concepts of origins. Dating systems deservere evaluation from a creation per spective and may yet be revised as more data becomes available. A few of these dating systems are below:

Human population statistics show that man has been on the earth for only a few thou sand years. To day's population growth rate is 2% per year (3.6 chil dren/family). Al lowing for war, fam ine, and dis ease to kill many, and using a very conservative population growth rate of only 1/2% per year (2.5 children/family), population growth would produce 5 bil lion peo ple in 4500 years, ex actly pro posed by the cre ation model of or i gins. Using 1/2% yearly pop u lation growth rate, and if evo lution ists are correct in believing that man has been on this earth for one million years, today's population should be 2x102166 (2 with 2166 ze ros after it)! But the known volume of the universe will hold only $7x10^{78}$ (7 with 78 zeros) people packed like sardines in a can! The evidence clearly supports the conclusion that mankind is only a few thou sand years old as proposed by the creation model oforigins.

Scar city of he lium shows that the earth is only a few thou sand years old. He lium is pro duced from the decay of uranium and thorium. Evolutionists propose that he lium orig i nated as ura nium de cayed into lead. How ever, even if we as sume that all the he lium came from ura nium de cay and no he lium was cre ated, then calculations still clearly show that the evolutionists can not properly apply the uranium—lead radio metric dating method to indicate that the earth is 5 billion years old. The amount of he lium in our at mo sphere in di cates that the earth must be less than 40,000 years old as proposed by the cre ation model of or i gins.

Meteoritic dust containing nickel, cobalt, and other heavy met als falls on the earth at the rate of about 14 million tons per year. If the earth was 5 billion years old as pro posed by evo lution ists, there should be over 182 feet of this dust cover ing the earth. Even allowing for erosion, there is not enough nickel, cobalt, and other heavy met als on the earth to allow for such ancient age of the earth. The amount of meteorite dust on the surface of the earth indicates that the earth is only thousands of years old as proposed by the creation model of origins.

High gas and oil pres sure in the ground pro duces the fa mous oil well gush ers. Since gas and oil are found in relatively permeable rock (like a hard sponge!), these natural deposits must be younger than 10,000 years, or else leak age would have dropped the pressure much be low what it is to day. This age of gas and oil deposits har mo nizes with the creation model of origins.

The sun is like a giant vacuum cleaner that vacuums 100,000 tons of micrometeoriods each day. If the solar system were only 10,000 years old, no micrometeriods would remain since there is no significant source of replen ish ment. To day, a large disk shaped cloud of micrometeriods is orbiting the sun; and this in dicates the solar system is less than 10,000 years old as proposed by the creation model of origins

Decay of the earth's magnetic field pro ceeds with a half—life of 1400 yrs. (i.e., strength of earth's magnet decreases by 1/2 every 1400 yrs.). Therefore, about 7000 yrs. ago the earth's mag netic field would have been 32 times stron ger than now, near the max imum that would per mit life. If the earth was pres ent just 25,000 yrs. ago, the strength of the earth's mag net would have produced so much heat that the earth's crust would have been destroyed! Such calculations suggest that the earth must be less than 25,000 yrs. old, and are in har mony with the cre ation model of origins!

Car bon—14 (C—14) dating meth ods are used to date mater i als that were once alive. About 6 miles high in the upper at mosphere, cosmic radiation bombards nitrogen (N) atoms and produces radioactive C—14 with a half—life of 5730 years. Carbon—14 enters the carbon cycle and becomes a part of all living things. When an or gan ism dies, C—14 in its body begins to decay into nitrogen. Evolution ists as sume that the rates of for mation and decay are constant, and that C—14 formation is in an equilibrium condition where the amount of C—14 form ing from nitrogen is

nitrogen. However, all of these rates are known to vary and are not constant! Large coal deposits world—wide show that the per cent C—14 was much less in the past than to day. Only about 30,000 years are re quired for C—14 to reach en vi ron men tal equilibrium, but equilibrium has not yet been reached in the earth's en vi ron ment. This lack of equilibrium for C—14 indicates that: (1) the dates determined from C—14 are not ac cu rate for more than 3000 years, and that (2) the at mo sphere of the earth must be less than 30,000 years old as pro posed by the cre ation model of origins.

exactly equal to the amount of C—14 decaying into

Cave stalactites and stalagmites form rapidly if the ground is very wet, such as when a great flood has occurred. In wet conditions following massive flooding, the great cave and cavern for mations would not take hun dreds of thou sands of years to form, but they would form in just a few thousand years. Caves and caverns are exactly as expected if they were formed just a few thou sand years ago following massive catar clysmic flooding as proposed by the creation model or or igins.

Similarities and Differences Between Organisms Supports Creation Model Of Or i gins

The evo lution model of or i gins as sumes that the degree of similarity of or ganisms in dicates the degree of their supposed relationships. For example, evolutionists be lieve that the similarity be tween ape and man has resulted from some common evolution ary ancestry. Evolutionists err when they argue that man and ape are so much alike in skeletal and muscle structure that man, the more complex organism, must have evolved from some remote ancestor of the ape. This is much the same as saying that the car and the bus are so much alike that the more complex bus, must have evolved from some remote ancestor of the simpler car.

Creationists ar gue that the sim i lar i ties of man and ape are marvelous evidence of outside skill and power possessed by an intelligent Cre ator. Creationists believe it is evident that the Cre ator devised a plan that would work, with certain modifications, for many different types of living creatures. This is much the same as saying that the structural similar ities of a can and truck are due not to evolution, but are evidence of outside skill and power possessed by man and were

made ac cord ing to a plan that would work, with certain modifications, for many different types of vehicles. Creationists argue that just as cars, trucks, and busses are similar because designers designed them to share the road, or gan isms are similar because a designer de signed them to share the earth. Note that auto mo tive engineers used a good plan in more than one kind of vehicle, just as the Creator used a good plan in more than one kind of or gan ism. Similarities in living organisms har mo nize with the creation model of or igins.

However, if all or gan isms have a common ances tor as proposed by evolution ists, then there should be a continuous gradual merging (intergradation) be tween all the various kinds of an imals and plants. In stead there are great gaps be tween the different kinds, both in the present world and in the fossil re cord. Only the creation model of or i gins proposes variation within created-kind limits and accounts for both similarities and differences in living organisms.

The cre ation model of or i gins as sumes that the de gree of similarity of organisms indicates that organisms were designed to share the earth and its environments. Organisms were created with similar body plan which were varied so that each created-kind would be per fectly equipped for its place in the world created for it (ecological niche). For example, creationists believe that the similarity between ape and man re sulted from a com mon de signer who designed them to: (1) to share food (similar digestive de sign), (2) share the sur face of the earth (sim i lar design of bones and mus cles for mo bil ity), (3) share visible light in order to see (similar eyes as light receptors), etc.

Similar design in living creatures demonstrates the order and wisdom of the great designer who used good design in many different kinds of organisms. Structural similarities exist in living organisms because they were created from the same basic chemicals of the earth. Structural similarities exist in living crea tures be cause all crea tures share the earth and its resources.

Diges tive systems in most crea tures have basic similar i ties be cause God de signed them to share the food chain. For example, large creatures which eat bananas must have similar digestive systems to digest food for energy. If such similar i ties did not exist, each

kind of crea ture would have to eat only its own type of food and the earth would be clut tered with spe cial ized foods for each crea ture only. God is a better de signer than this, so He cre ated or gan isms with sim i lar i ties so they could share the earth and the earth's produce.

Eyes are similar in various organisms because God created them to function within the earth's visible light range. Similarity in eye balls shows the wis dom of the Creator who designed His creatures to share the earth.

Mus cles and bones are sim i lar in large crea tures because God cre ated them to move freely un der the influence of the same forces of gravity. Similarity in mus cles and bones shows the great en gi neering skills of the Cre ator who de signed crea tures to op er ate under the same in flu ence of gravity.

Historically, evolutionists have erroneously proposed man does not need all of his bodily or gans because he has evolved to a higher stage. Creationists ar gue that the var i ous parts of or gan isms were all designed for spe cific pur poses and should not be considered useless. In the past, evolutionists have foolishly proposed that man has evolved to a stage where he no lon ger needs the following or gans:

Tonsils—Today we know that the ton sils are part of body's defense against disease.

Appendix—Todaywe know that the appendix functions in de fense against dis ease during child hood and some what less in adult life.

Coc cyx (tail bone)—To day we know that the coc cyx is an im por tant place of at tach ment for leg and lower back mus cles to the back bone. If the coc cyx is damaged, paralysis may result.

Pi tu itary gland—To day we know that this gland produces body hormones necessary for body metabolism.

Biogenesis: Life Comes From Life Sup ports Creation Model Of Origins

Life comes from life is a scientific observation which supports the creation model of origins. Biological experiments through the ages have verified that only living organisms can reproduce. Plants reproduce plants, an imals reproduce an imals, human beings reproduce human beings, etc. This development of life from pre—existing life is so well established by mod-

ern biology that it has been called the *law of biogenesis*. The question of which came first, the chicken or the egg, is set tled! There can be no egg unless a chicken laid it; and there can be no chicken with an egg un less the chicken if first cre ated!

The evolution model of origins violates the scientific observations that show life comes only from life. Evolutionists propose that life originated through spontaneous generation from non-living materials. The evolutionary notion of spontaneous generation has never been scientifically demonstrated or observed, and violates all verified modern scientific observations. Even if the combined intelligence of scientists could produce life from non-living materials in the laboratory, such life would have resulted from intelligence and design supporting the creation model which requires great in telligence and design to produce life.

Living Organisms Reproduce Like Organisms Supporting Creation Model Of Origins

Living organisms reproduce after their own kind in harmony with the creation model of origins. Living organisms have the designed capability to vary tremen dously; how ever, no vari a tion is known to cause one kind of creature to evolve or turn into another completely different kind of creature. True biology should expend more effort to study reproductive characteristics of living organisms in order to accurately define the limits of the created kinds, and more accurately classify all organisms by reproductive characteristics rather than anatomical similarities. Although many similarities exist in different kinds of creatures, each created kind is distinguished by its ability to reproduce, not by structural similarities.

The creation model of origins concludes that created-kinds have never evolved or merged into each other by crossing over the created bounds of distinguishingreproductive characteristics. Today we see that these basic created-kinds have diversified into many varieties. Such variation permits the created-kinds to survive in various environments and also permits variety in beauty.

The cre ation model of or i gins rec og nizes that all human ity re sulted from the cre ation of an orig i nal man and woman whose un ion re sulted in to day's stag gering variety of colors, shapes, and families of human-

ity, yet all humanity are part of the created-man—kind.

Pedigree records for the dog kind, cattle kind, horse kind, etc., cover hun dreds of years and show that living or gan isms re pro duce after their own kind. Living organisms were created with great genetic potential for variation; how ever, dogs al ways re produce dogs, cattle always reproduce cattle, horses always re produce horses, flies al ways re produce flies, etc.

Mem bers of a cre ated-kind may mate and the prod uct (hy brid) is also of the same kind to which the par ents be longed. For ex am ple, the horse and the don key are two spe cies (races) of the horse kind and pro duce the mule which is truly a horse—like off spring.

The fos sil re cord re veals many more kinds and va riety of many more variations ex isted in the past than today, including varieties of dino saurs, sa ber—tooth ti gers, sharks, al li ga tors, in sects, fish, ape, man, etc.

Embryology Supports The Creation Model Of Origins

Evolutionary embryology has a deceitful history. Ernst Haeckel (1834—1920) is considered to be an early leader in evolutionary embryology. Haeckel fraudulently proposed that ontogeny recapitulates phylogeny, i.e., the development of the individual embryo (ontogeny) goes through various stages of devel op ment that trace (recapitulates) the evolutionary history for that type of organism (phylogeny). Haeckel proposed that the human embryo be comes a fish with gills which evolves into a rep tile with a tail, which then evolves into a mammal that finally evolves into a hu man that is born. In other words, follow ers of Ernst Haeckel's evo lution ary model of or igins conclude that in early stages of devel op ment, the human em bryo is only an an i mal and not fully human. However, Haeckel was wrong and admitted that he had fal si fied his re search drawings of em bryos in order to lead peo ple to be lieve in Dar win's con cept of evolution. Haeckel's falsified drawings are still in col lege and high school science text books! Haeckel's falsified drawings show par allel pic tures of human embryo stages next to animal embryos indicating similarities which in fact do not exist. Haeckel ad mitted that he faked his draw ings to make them look sim-

Hu man em bryo never have a tail as pro posed by the

evo lu tion con cept of Haeckel. In stead the hu man embryo has a de vel op ing back bone that is long and narrow around which develops the body of the baby. This embryonic backbone is not a tail, never functions as a tail, and no evidence supports the notion that it is tail rem nant of an i mal evo lu tion as stated by Haeckel. The back bone does not even look like a tail to trained sci en tific ob serv ers.

Hu man em bryo never have gills as fur ther pro posed by the evo lution con cept of Haeckel. In stead hu man em bryo have neck folds that are de vel op ing jaws and up per chest. These neck folds in hu man em bryo never have re spi ra tory mech a nisms (gills) in side them and are not gill slits remnant of aquatic evolution as Haeckel stated in his evolution model of origins. Sadly, Haeckel's deceit ful evolutionary em bry ology is still taught in most of today's public college and high school bi ology classes.

Actually, scientific embryology demonstrates that the devel oping embryo of mankind is always human in every stage of devel op ment exactly as proposed by the creation model of or i gins. When the human zygote (fertilized egg) is formed, it has human genes, not monkey, mammal, reptile, fish, or amoeba genes. Knowledgeable embryologists can always scientifically identify the type of or gan ism by observing the unique differences be tween the various kinds of embryos or or gan isms.

Fossils And The Geologic Column: Cataclysmic Catastrophe And The World Wide Flood

The earth con tains abun dant fos sils in a geo logic record that indicates world—wide cataclysmic catastrophe. Sedimentary deposits containing fossils cover the earth with an average depth of about one mile thickness. Sedimentary deposits are flood deposits. The earth's surface rocks are called sed i mentary (Latin, sedimentum meaning settling) because they look like they set tled out of water, exactly as proposed in the creation model of or i gins. Fos sil for mation requires rapid burial in sed i mentary deposits in or der to remove dead or gan isms from en viron ments which cause decomposition in stead of fos sil ization.

Three types of fos sils are of partic u lar in ter est:

Fossils formed by preservation of the original living substance: many in sects have been preserved in tact in amber (fossilized tree sap) much similar to modern

plastic biological mounts. A great hairy mammoth was pre served so well in the Arc tic ice that when its flesh thawed it was eaten by wild dogs. Other plants and an imals have been pre served intact in tar pits. The stomach of the frozen mammoth preserved in the Arctic contained undigested plant food from a warm climate indicatingthat the now cold regions of the earth were once very warm.

Most fos sils are of the replace ment type. The process of petrification involves replacement, molecule by molecule, of the organic matter of the organ ism with mineral matter. Typically, only the hard parts of the organism are replaced and the fossil may contain much or little de tail.

Other fossils include tracks, insect holes in wood, dung, and re gur gi tated food. Hu man foot prints, such as those in the Paluxy River in Texas, have been discovered in strata with di no saurs and other fos sil ized organisms.

The creation model of origins proposes that the world's fossils and geologic column were primarily formed in a cataclysmic, catastrophic worldwide flood providing rapid destruction and burial in one age over a pe riod of months and years, cer tainly not mil lions of years as proposed by the evolution model of or i gins. Great geo log i cal changes had taken place, including volcanism, mountain building, beginnings of glaciation, ocean beds opening to receive excess water, etc. The rapid for mation character is tics of the geo logic column and fos sils testify to rapid for mation of the entire system. The creation model concludes that the organisms represented in the fossil record must have lived at the same time, rather than in sep arate time pe ri ods over hun dreds of mil lions of years as al leged by evolution ists.

Ecological Zoning, Not Evolution

Creationists believe that the fossil world was much like our present world. Just as to day, man lived at the same time as one celled organisms, mammals, reptiles, fish, marine verte brates (shelled creatures), etc.; however, a greater variety of organisms lived with man including dino saurs and trilobites.

Flood de pos its are known to form at the rate of about one inch per minute. At one inch per minute, the world's sed i men tary de pos its would form in a flood in about 220 days. Sediments would have formed

even faster in a cat a clys mic, cat a strophic world wide flood.

Fos sil or der in the geo logic col umn is the same as if there were a world—wide flood last night. Shelled creatures (marine invertebrates) live on the earth in the low est lay ers of the seas, and are fos sil ized in the lowest layers of the geologic column because they were the first creatures covered by the flood sediments. Marine ver te brates (fish) would be typ i cally fos sil ized in the next higher lay ers be cause they live in the next higher lay ers (zones). Am phib ians are fossil ized in the next higher sed i men tary lay ers be cause they live in that layer at the interface of water and land. Reptiles and then amphibians would be in the next respective layers because they live at these layers. Mo bile mam mals live in the high est land ar eas, and are fos sil ized in the high est lay ers of the geo logic col umn be cause they were the last crea tures cov ered by the flood sed i ments. The geo logic col umn (lay ers of rock con taining fos sils) was caused by ecological zoning(living area) of living creatures and by varying water slur ries, not by evo lution.

Fossils in the sedimentary geologic layers were caused by worldwide flood and flood after—effects including massive tectonic (earthmoving) activities, volcanism (volcanoes erupting), mountain building, glaciation (glacier for mation), etc. For example, the coal beds of West Virginia, Kentucky, Ohio, etc., were formed from adiabatically compressed (high pressure in an insulated environment) sediments of trees and veg etation caused by mountain up lifts during the world wide flood and its after—effects.

Arizona petrified wood and the earthquake which split open the Grand Can yon were the re sults world-wide flood and its after—effects. Vol canoes of Crater Lake, Or e gon, Yel low stone vol ca nism, and even the recent eruption of Mount St. Helen, Washington, were caused by the world wide flood and the continuing after—effects of settling and earth movement. Glaciers of many states including Montana, Michigan, Ohio, etc., and the flood—ordered strata were formed in flood's after—effects. The tremendous amount of water in volved in a world wide flood rapidly formed other fos sil and geologic deposits in cluding: Colorado fossil dinosaur and insect deposits, Green River fossil fish deposits of Wyoming, Bad Lands and mountains of fos sil sea mol lusks in South

Dakota, the Michigan state stone (coral fossil Petoskey stone), glacial sand dunes of the Great Lakes region, etc. A period of glaciation extending less that 1000 years followed the worldwide flood and flattened the mid west regions of Can ada and the United States as it carved out the Great Lakes.

Anthropology and Paleontology Support The Creation Model Of Origins

Anthropology is the study of the physical, so cial, and cultural history of man. Evolutionists have vainly searched over 100 years for the missing link that would tie man to his alleged animal ancestors. So called human missing links have been doc umented to be either (1) fully human, (2) an imal (typically apes or monkeys), (3) in sufficient data to determine, or (4) hoaxes with tampered fossil parts. Creationists observe that hu man fos sils have been dis cov ered in only a few places be cause man was in telli gent and mobile, and was last to be covered by the worldwide flood waters pre sented in the cre ation model of or i gins. In the creation model, usually the solid sediments from a world wide flood did not cover man, but man was gener ally con sumed by the scav en gers of the sea and few fos sils of man ex ist. Fos sils er ro ne ously claimed to be man by evo lution ists are listed be low, along with exam ples of truly hu man fos sils:

Australopithecus (means southern ape) are all apes with a brain size sim i lar to modern apes and monkeys (435—635 cc) and includes the following. Zinjanthropus was found in the 1950's in Africa, has a skull like a modern great ape, and is certainly not an ape—man. Homo habilis, called handy man be cause a tool was found nearby, was found in the same area as Zinjanthropus and is probably a female or young go rilla, but is certainly not an ape—man. Lucy bone parts were found in Africa by Don ald Johanson and are ape—like. No Lucy bones have been shown to be human. Lucy may have walked somewhat upright like rain forest chimpanzees, but did not walk like man, and was certainly not an ape—man.

Homo erectus (means erect man) had a brain capacity (700—1100 cc) between that of modern ape and modern man and includes the following. Java man was constructed from bone pieces of a skull cap, a few teeth, and thigh bone that were found scattered 50—70 ft apart. Java man could be anything, but is certainly not a miss ing link in evolution. Pe king man

was found in Peking. All fragments were lost in World War II. Since the fossils no longer exist, it could be any thing, but are certainly not a miss ing link inevolution.

Ne braska man was con structed from one tooth found in Ne braska. This tooth was pre sented at the Scopes Trial as conclusive proof that evolution was true. More of the skeleton type has now been found, and clearly the tooth is from an ex tinct wild pig, and not an ancestor of man. Giganthropithecus was constructed from teeth that were pur chased in drug stores in Ori ent, and these were said to be big teeth from a giant fos sil man. How ever, no clear fos sils have ever been found. Piltdown man was a fraud that became the only major proof of ape—man from 1912—1953. In 1953, Pilt down man's jaw bone was dis cov ered to be from a chimpanzee but had been filed to appear man—like, then treated with chem i cals and bur ied in an area where evolutionists were digging in 1912. Pilt down man was pre sented as the world's best proof of evo lution until the fraud was un covered when the bones were care fully an alyzed in 1953. Pilt down man is presented in all the old textbooks and encyclopedias as proof of evo lution; but was in fact just an other lie of man.

Homo sapiens (means wise man). These fossils appear to be fully hu man and of fer no proof of evo lution. Such fossils include the following. Rhodesia man was found in a cave in Rho de sia. He was about 5 ft. 10 in. tall and may have had a commonhormonal disorder which produced deformity of the skull. Neanderthal man had a skel e ton very sim i lar to modern Eskimo and American Indian skeletons. Neanderthal man looked like the twin brother of the Rus sian del e gate to the 1958 Cairo Con fer ence. The first Neanderthal man dis cov ered had a stooped back which some cited as proof that he was an ape—man. However, more careful study has shown that this Neanderthal man simply had rick ets, a vi ta min D deficiency which leads to faulty or inadequate bone growth and a stooped back. To day, peo ple with rickets have softening and irregular growth of bones, swollen joints, distorted limbs, deformities of the chest, etc. Rick ets can cause gross hand i caps, and is found in poor in ner city areas to day. Eating fish, milk products, and exposure to sunlight prevents rickets. Some Neanderthals were men who had poor di ets and suffered with rickets. Cro-Magnon man is basically

like mod ern man. Cro-Magnon man painted in caves, made clay objects, and may have worked with met als. Cro-Magnon man has an ap pear ance very much similar to some mod ern men.

The actual fossil evidence reveals that man has always been man, and the ape has al ways been ape exactly as predicted by the creation model of origins. The fossil record has revealed no verifiable transitional forms leading to man or other an i mals.

The con cept of race is based on the evo lu tion no tion that different human oid creatures evolved into different races of hu man ity; i.e., a dark ape—like crea ture evolved into the black skinned peoples, an albino ape—like creature evolved into the white skinned peoples, etc. Evo lution ary eugenicists were leaders in Hit ler's no tion of a superior race de stroy ing the in ferior races. Against such prejudice, the creation model of origins proposes that all humanity has descended from an original created pair of created humans. Creationists conclude that there is no such thing as inter—ra cial mar riages since there is only one hu man race. The creation model of or i gins rec og nizes designed variety in color and physical characteristics. but does not sup port the mod ern con cept of race and accompanying racial prejudices.

Dinosaurs In The Scientific Creation Model Of Origins

Dinosaur (Greek: *dino* meaning terrible and *saur* meaning lizard) fossils have been found throughout the world, especially in the western U.S. states including Colorado, Utah, Montana, and Wyoming. The creation model of or i gins pro poses that man and di no saurs lived at the same time.

Di no saurs typ i cally had small brains. *Brachiosaurus* weighed up to 90 tons and was about 80 feet long, but had a brain size no larger than that of a small kit ten. *Stegosaurus* weighed up to 2 tons and was about 30 feet long, but had a wal nut sized brain weigh ing about 2½ ounces. Most fos sil di no saurs are quite small, less than 15 feet long not including their tails which almost dou bles their lengths.

Some sedimentary deposits contain many dinosaur remains mixed in a huge fossil graveyard that gives evidence of a cataclysmicflood. The change in climate following a world wide flood would have killed many animals, including dinosaurs. Fossils of dino-

saurs have been found above the Arc tic Cir cle, and in the Ant arc tic. Semi trop i cal fos sil plants (palm trees, etc.) and an i mals have been found in di cat ing that to-day's frozen areas of Green land and Can ada were once covered with palm trees and were as warm as Florida is to day. Fos sil de pos its re veal that lush vegetation once covered much of the earth. During this period plant eating dinosaurs, man, and all organisms would have had an abun dance of food.

The cre ation model of or i gins pro poses that the world was cre ated as a semi trop i cal par a dise with an abundance of living organisms including dinosaurs. A worldwide catastrophic flood destroyed this semitropical para dise, deposited the sed i mentary geologic column containing fossil including dinosaurremains, and formed today's world environment containing vast frigid areas, huge deserts, and highly change able weather conditions. Dinosaurs that survived the world wide flood failed to repopulate the earth in today's hostile environment and became extinct.

Before the mid-1800's, di no saurs were called dragons, an old English term for terrible huge liz ard-like beasts. The early records and traditions of most ancient nations fre quently refer to drag ons. Dragon references in ancient literature probably represent memories of di no saurs passed down orally by tribal ancestors who observed dinosaurs before them became extinct.

The dragon is the national symbol of China, and through out the East drag ons were considered bene ficial protectors of emperors and leaders. Early Chinese writings describe dragons used to pull royal chariots on special occasions. In an cient Sumer, the hero Gilgamesh traveled to a distant land to cut ce dar trees and discovered a huge reptile-like an imal eating trees and reeds. Gilgamesh killed it and cut off its head for a trophy.

Emperor Nebuchadnezzar had a dragon named Sirrush carved into the Ishtar Gate in an cient Babylon. In England during the third century A.D., St. George is de scribed as sav ing the king's daugh ter by killing an evil dragon that was going to eat her. The Bible in Job 40 and 41 describes in de tail two di nosaurs-like animals called *behemoth* (similar to *Diplodocus*) and leviathan(marinedinosaur).

The Greek ex plorer Herodotus in about 460 B.C. de-

scribed fly ing bat-winged snake like rep tiles in Egypt and Arabia. Herodotus was shown a canyon near Buto, Arabia, with piles of back bones and ribs re sembling *Rhamphorhynchus*. Ar is totle, the Greek phi los opher, describes similar flying reptiles in Ethiopia. Strabo the geographer, indicated that flying reptiles also ex ist ing in In dia.

Ancient Roman mosaics from the 2nd century A.D. pic ture long necked drag ons by the sea. Irish writings in the 8th century A.D. describeobservations of a large beast having iron nails in its tail, thick legs, strong claws, and a long skull: features resembling the *Stegosaurus*. The city of Nerluc, France, was named in honor of a hero who killed a dragon whose description is somewhat like the tree horned *Triceratops*. Historia Animalium, an old European sci ence book, claims that drag ons still ex isted in the 1500's, but were rare and relatively small. In 1572 Ulys ses Androvandus ob tained the stuffed body of a small dragon which had been killed by a lo cal farmer in north ern It aly.

Ancient Indian carvings on the wall of the Grand Canyon have the appearance of the *Edmontosaurus* di no saur. On the wall of Rattle snake Can yon in Colorado is an etch ing of a rep tile that ap pears sim i lar to the *Tyrannosaurus* dinosaur with the characteristic up right pos ture, long neck, and long tail. On the walls of a cave near Salis bury, Zim ba bwe, ap pear bushmen paints made in about 1500 B.C. which have the appearance of the Apatosaurus dinosaur. The ancient men who drew and carved these dinosaur pictures must have seen them, be cause they had no mu se ums to visit nor books avail able with pic tures!

Possibly the duck-billed dinosaur *Parasaurolophus*, with a large hol low domed crest on its head, or other such drag ons may have ac tu ally breathed fire as a defense against predators. Bombardier beatles today have rear com bus tion tubes that are able to blast hydrogen peroxide and hydroquinone, an explosive popping mix ture that smokes, at predators.

Some near ex tinct di no saurs and ma rine rep tiles may still be alive today. Today, natives of the Jiunda Swamp in northern Zimbabwe describe strange flying bat-winged rep tiles which they say look like the Ptero dac tyl. Na tives in re mote Afri can jun gle claim to have seen sauropod di no saurs like the *Diplodocus* dinosaur

During World War I, a German submarine captain described torpedoed the British steamer *Iberian* which sank and exploded send ing de bris and a huge sea an i mal into the air. Ger man Cap tain Georg von Forstner de scribed the sea mon ster as writh ing and strug gling wildly, be ing about 60 feet long with crocodile shape hav ing webbed feed and a long tail ta pering to a point similar to the *Kronosarus* marine dinosaur.

In the Gulf of California in 1883, the captain of the HMS Flysaw a large ma rine an i mal having the general form of an alligator but with a long neck and flippers similar to the *Plesiosarus*. The Loch Ness Mon ster in Scot land also matches the de scrip tion of the *Pleasiosarus*. In 1977 Jap a nese fish er men off the coast of New Zea land net ted from a depth of 900 feet the de cay ing body of a large rep tile that was 32 feet long weighing about 4000 pounds. This marine reptile had 4 fins each of which was about 3 feet long. A committee of well recognized Japanese marine scientists con cluded that the an i mal was a Plesiosarus. At the sci en tific dis cov ery of the year, the Jap a nese honored the find with a commerative post age stamp and used the plesiosaur as the of ficial em blem for the Japanese 1977 National Exhibition which celebrated 100 years of scientific discovery.

Evolutionists claim that dinosaurs died out millions of years ago, long be fore the evolution ary appearance of man. How ever, creationists place the general extinction of dinosaurs at just a few thou sands of years ago, and accept his toric references and modern sightings of dinosaurs as con firmation that man and dinosaurs live at the same time.

Dr. Gail E. Terrell

Dr. Gail E. Terrell, Pas tor of Grace Bap tist Church in Cincinnati, Ohio, presents the creationist position from the viewpoint of an experienced scientist and science teacher, who is also a Bap tist preacher. Dr. Terrell's academiccredentials are as follows: from the University of Cincinnati—Ph.D. in interdis ciplinary studies, M.Ed. in science and mathematics, M.B.A. in marketing, B.S. in chemical engineering; from Cincinnati Baptist Bible College—Th.D. and M.Div. Dr Terrell went home with the Lord october 2003